Date:

June 23, 2009

To:

Enforcement Services Division

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Field Support Section

File No.:

065.17002.065.200970067

Subject:

2008 CHP 202D, CONSENT SEARCH, AUDIT

Beginning in May 2007, all uniformed personnel conducting a consent search were required to begin using the revised CHP 202D, Consent Search. Per General Order (G.O.) 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy, each area is required to conduct a self audit of all CHP 202Ds and to submit quarterly reports to their respective Divisions regarding the total number of consent searches performed during the reporting period.

Each Division is required to conduct physical random audits of each Area's CHP 202Ds annually and submit their findings in a memorandum to Field Support Section (FSS) by April 1 of each year. The memorandum shall include the total number of consent searches performed during the reporting period, number of CHP 202Ds audited, and the percentage of CHP 202Ds audited. Divisions shall include in its memorandum any discrepancies and plan of action to correct such discrepancies. FSS shall then compile the statistical data from all Divisions and submit a report to the Office of the Commissioner by July 1 of each year.

For the period of January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008, the California Highway Patrol (CHP) documented a total of 158 consent searches. Of the 158 consent searches documented, 145 CHP 202Ds were audited by their respective Divisions. The following discrepancies were noted in the Division audits:

- Use of obsolete forms.
- Failure to attach CHP 202D to corresponding investigation.
- Not all Areas submitted 202D Quarterly reports.
- Some CHP 202Ds contained missing officer and/or subject information in section two of the first page of the CHP 202D.
- Some CHP 202Ds were submitted without corresponding CHP 415s, Daily Field Record.
- Some CHP 202Ds did not have a supervisor's signature.
- Failure to obtain the signature of the subject on the CHP 202D.
- More than one subject listed on the CHP 202D.

Safety, Service, and Security

Date:

To:

Office of the Commissioner

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Enforcement Services Division

File No.:

060.17002.065.2009.7.0067

Subject:

2008 CHP 202D, CONSENT SEARCH, AUDIT

The following CHP 202D, Consent Search, audit has been prepared pursuant to the requirements contained in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy.

Should you desire further information regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me or Captain Mark Brunet of Field Support Section at (916) 445-0752.

D. A. VERTAR, Chief

Attachments

cc: Field Support Section

Enforcement Services Division Page 2 May 29, 2009

• Incomplete documentation on the CHP 415.

All situations were addressed by each Division with the affected Areas at the time of the Division's audit. Additionally, FSS and the Office of the Academy are addressing the discrepancies noted in this audit through the annual search and seizure refresher training that will be available on the intranet.

Listed below are the findings from each Division's audit for the period of January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008.

Northern Division

Total Number of CHP 202Ds: 24
Total Number of CHP 202Ds audited: 24
Percentage of CHP 202Ds audited: 100
Discrepancies: 4

- One CHP 202D was documented on an obsolete form.
- Three CHP 415s did not reflect a corresponding CHP 202D as required.

The affected areas addressed the issues individually with the involved officers and sergeants responsible for the review process. Reinforcement of CHP policy regarding consent search documentation during briefings to field personnel was discussed with the Area commanders.

Valley Division

Total Number of CHP 202Ds:	12
Total Number of CHP 202Ds audited:	3
Percentage of CHP 202Ds audited:	25
Discrepancies:	1

• Several CHP 202Ds were documented on obsolete forms.

The affected Areas were addressed by Division to ensure that the correct version of the CHP 202D was being used by field personnel.

Golden Gate Division

Total Number of CHP 202Ds:	2
Total Number of CHP 202Ds audited:	2
Percentage of CHP 202Ds audited:	100
Discrepancies:	0

Enforcement Services Division Page 3 May 29, 2009

Central Division

Total Number of CHP 202Ds: 60
Total Number of CHP 202Ds audited: 60
Percentage of CHP 202Ds audited: 100
Discrepancies: 2

- Not all Areas submitted Quarterly CHP 202D reports to Division.
- One officer utilized an old version of the CHP 202D to document a probable cause search.

The involved commanders were contacted and made aware of the findings of the audit. The commanders will address the issues individually with the involved officers and sergeants responsible for the review process. The old forms have been destroyed and replaced with the current version. Reinforcement of CHP policy regarding consent search documentation will be discussed during subsequent briefings to field personnel.

Southern Division

Total Number of CHP 202Ds:	29
Total Number of CHP 202Ds audited:	29
Percentage of CHP 202Ds audited:	100
Discrepancies:	1

• One officer utilized an old version of the CHP 202D to document a probable cause search.

This was discussed with the officer and new CHP 202Ds were provided to the officer.

Border Division

Total Number of CHP 202Ds:	46
Total Number of CHP 202Ds audited:	33
Percentage of CHP 202Ds audited:	72
Discrepancies:	2

- Missing officer and/or subject information in section two of the first page of the CHP 202D.
- Missing corresponding CHP 415s.

Enforcement Services Division Page 4 May 29, 2009

The responsible Areas have taken corrective action to ensure employees properly document their use of the CHP 202D according to departmental policy.

Coastal Division

Total Number of CHP 202Ds:	0
Total Number of CHP 202Ds audited:	0
Percentage of CHP 202Ds audited:	0
Discrepancies:	0

Inland Division

Total Number of CHP 202Ds:	25
Total Number of CHP 202Ds audited:	25
Percentage of CHP 202Ds audited:	100
Discrepancies:	3

- An officer failed to obtain the signature of the subject and/or supervisor on the CHP 202D.
- One CHP 202D was found to have more than one subject listed.
- Missing corresponding CHP 415s.

All personnel involved were counseled and follow up training in concurrence with G.O. 100.91 has been conducted at the Area level.

Protective Services Division

Total Number of CHP 202Ds:	0
Total Number of CHP 202Ds audited:	0
Percentage of CHP 202Ds audited:	0
Discrepancies:	0

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Sergeant Jeff Neiman or Officer Andra Eddy of Field Support Section at (916) 445-0752.

Commander
Attachments

cc: Office of the Academy

Date:

March 27, 2009

To:

Field Support Section

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Northern Division

File No.:

101.11032.10935.memo09 13.doc

Subject:

2008 ANNUAL 202D, CONSENT SEARCH FORM AUDIT

As required by General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy, Northern Division has completed its annual 202D audit and is providing the following information for your review.

For the period of January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008, Northern Division personnel performed a total of 24 consent searches. Twenty-four CHP 202Ds were audited representing 100 percent of all searches performed. The majority of forms had no issues; however, the following discrepancies were noted:

One CHP 202D was documented on an obsolete form. Three CHP 415, Daily Field Record, documents did not reflect a corresponding CHP 202D as required. The affected Areas addressed these issues with their personnel. Other minor procedural issues were identified, discussed and corrected with the affected commanders.

No other discrepancies were discovered during the audit. Should you require additional information, please contact Lieutenant Tim Saxon at (530) 225-2715.

C. J. JENKINS, Chief

Date:

June 4, 2009

To:

Field Support Section

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Valley Division

File No.:

201.10460.15583.09-281

N, Acting Chief

Subject:

ANNUAL CHP 202D, CONSENT SEARCH FORM AUDIT

As required by General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy, Valley Division has completed its annual 202D audit, and is providing the following information for your review.

For the period of January 1, 2008, through December 31, 2008, Valley Division personnel performed a total of twelve consent searches. Three CHP 202Ds were audited representing 25 percent of all searches performed. The audit findings are detailed on the attached CHP 202N, CHP 202D Audit – Division Level.

Should you require additional information, please contact Lieutenant David Qualls of Valley Division at (916) 464-2090.

Attachments

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL **CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL**

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

AREA DIVISION DATE Valley Division Valley Division 06/04/2009 AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER A. Stockwell / 15583

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be completed in blue ink.

1. TOTA	AL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	4
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	7
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	1
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	12
2. (COMPLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED AND LEGIBLE YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	?
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
 B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AND / OR ☐ YES ☑ NO (1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? 	LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED FOR C	DN OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D'S, WHICH RES YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A	BULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE APPRO YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A	OPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO THE YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)
 G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED? ☐ YES ☐ NO (1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A
3. SUMMARY

A total of 12 consent searches were performed in Valley Division during the reporting period of January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008. Three CHP 202Ds were audited, representing 25% of Valley Division's CHP 202Ds.

The only discrepancy noted was that several Areas within Valley Division were found to be using CHP 202Ds with a revision date of 9-03 rather than the most current revision of 4-07. Valley Division's plan of action to correct this discrepancy is to contact each Area and ensure they are using CHP 202Ds with the 4-07 revision.

A Stockwell / 15583

A Stockwell / 15583

M S Champion, Acting Chief

DATE SIGNATURE 06/04/2009

Destroy Previous Editions

COMMANDER'S NAME

M S Champion, Acting Chief

DATE 06/04/2009

DATE 06/04/2009

C202N507.pdf

Date:

April 1, 2009

To:

Field Support Section

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Golden Gate Division

File No.:

301.12877.11985

Subject:

2008 ANNUAL AUDIT OF CHP 202D

Golden Gate Division completed an audit of CHP 202D's for the reporting period of January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008. Golden Gate Division Areas completed two CHP 202D forms during the reporting period. Both were audited and no discrepancies were noted.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Lieutenant Tom Gunther at (707) 648-4180.

T. M. BECHER, Chief

Date:

March 25, 2009

To:

Field Support Section

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Central Division

File No.:

401.10194.202Daudit

Subject:

ANNUAL CHP 202D RANDOM AUDIT

During the first quarter of 2009, Central Division conducted physical audits of Division's and Areas' CHP 202Ds, Consent Search Forms, pursuant to General Order (GO) 100.91. The audit included the submission of Quarterly CHP 202D memorandums, the number of searches performed, and the number of CHP 202Ds audited. Specially selected teams audited the CHP 202Ds at every Area and Division Unit within the Division for calendar year 2008.

Central Division Areas conducted a total of eleven consent searches during the calendar year and Division Unit personnel conducted forty-nine consent searches. One-hundred percent of the completed CHP 202Ds were audited. The searches were evaluated to ensure they had an appropriate level of finds; and the CHP202Ds were complete, legible and in compliance with policy.

Central Division auditors identified a problem with the consistent submission of CHP 202D Quarterly Reports to Division. Although most Areas completed the Quarterly CHP 202D reports and maintained them on file, not all Areas submitted them to Division on a quarterly basis as required by policy. Central Division's plan of action to correct this deficiency is to direct the CHP 202D coordinator to maintain a tracking log to monitor the timely submission of the CHP 202D Quarterly Reports.

During the audit, auditors found one Unit in Division completed thirteen CHP 202Ds using outdated forms. This problem was immediately corrected by the replacement of the outdated forms with the current version, and the old forms were destroyed.

Field Support Section Page 2 March 25, 2009

Finally, although review of GO 100.91 is required to be conducted quarterly for all uniformed employees, the only location this direction is provided is in General Order 100.91. Consequently, if/when training was provided, none of the Areas documented the completion of this training. To prevent recurrence of this oversight, all Areas and Division Units will be directed to include a review of GO 100.91 in their quarterly training logs.

If you have any questions, please contact Lieutenant J. Kahn at (559) 277-7250.

J. R. ABRAMES

Chief

Date:

March 23, 2009

To:

Field Support Section

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Southern Division

File No.:

501.10377.18035

Subject:

2008 202D AUDIT – DIVISION LEVEL

This memorandum is addressing the Southern Division Area's 202D Audit, for the calendar year of 2008. Within Southern Division there are ten (10) field Areas, additionally there is a Enforcement Inspection Facility, Traffic Management Unit, Freeway Service Patrol, and Investigative Services Unit.

Following the change in the Consent Search decree, General Order 100.91 was revised to include the new 202D form, as well as new reporting procedures for the 202D. Beginning in May of 2007, all Areas were to begin using the new 202D, as well as begin preparing quarterly reports to Division. Each Area indicated above reported to Southern Division, as required, in a timely manner.

An annual audit was conducted in the beginning of 2009 of each of the above Areas. During this audit, a total of 29 202D/Consent Searches were completed. Of these 29, 17 were of positive findings, and 12 were of negative findings. Attached you will find a copy of each Area's 202N.

During the audit there were no major discrepancies found. There were, however, two instances where the old form was used. In both instances, this was discussed with the officer and the officer was given the new 202D form. The majority of the uses come from the Investigative Services Unit. They utilize them as a result of on-going criminal investigations, rather than simple traffic stops.

Any questions may be directed to Officer J. Perry or Sergeant S. Coyle at (818) 240-8200.

W. A. STANLEY, Chief

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

AREA ALTADENA (575) DIVISION SOUTHERN DATE 03/11/2009

AUDITOR'S NAME / 1D NUMBER J. PERRY #18035

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's

overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall	be completed in blue ink.
1.25 (2.64)	TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	0
	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED AND I	EGIBLE?
YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	10 miles
N/A	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS A	ND / OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
☐YES ☐NO	· ·
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
N/A	
THE STATE OF THE S	D FOR ON OFFICERIO CUR 445'22
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D's ACCURATELY ACCOUNTE	D FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 4158?
☐ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
N/A	(Nacl Y)
1 117 2	
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WH	CH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
☐ YES ☐ NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
N/A	
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO TH	E APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
☐YES ☐NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
N/A	
	TO THE OUR COOR AND FREE CONCENT MACHINETURE MAINING
	TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
☐ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN2
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	TALIN:
18/73	

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

FAGE 2

2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)	
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED?	
☐ YES ☑ NO	
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?	
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO	OF
THE CHP 202D?	
☐ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	20
r4/V	
3. SUMMARY	1100000
o. SUIVINART	(CONT

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

J. PERRY #18035

Captain W. Dance

SIGNATURE

DATE

03/11/2009

DATE

03/11/2009

Destroy Previous Editions

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	AREA	DIVISION	DATE
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL	545	Southern Division	01/08/2009
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL	AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER		
CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	Officer L. Mitchell / 17176		

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be completed in blue ink.

Overall intuings. It mandwitten, this form that	
The state of the s	TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	1
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	1
	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D's FULLY COMPLETED AND	LEGIBLE?
YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
	no copy of the 202D was placed in the Area file. The 202D was not used to collect
evidence which was under control of a suspec	et, but rather control of a third part. See summary.
(O) MILLAT CORRECTIVE A CTION WAS TAKEND	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? Area personnel were re-briefed on the 202D y	rocedures
Area personner were re-briefed on the 2020 p	noccuures.
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS A	ND / OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
YES NO	
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS T	AKEN?
N/A	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D's ACCURATELY ACCOUNTE	D FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
YES NO	I/ENO
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA Area personnel were re-briefed on the 202D p	
Area personner were re-united on the 202D ;	Toccuries.
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WH	ICH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
N/A	
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO TH	E APPROPRIATE CHP 2027 CHP 2167
YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	VEN2
N/A There was no 216 or 202 prepared as the	e unique circumstances did not call for this, rather it was attached to the original collision
report and filed at the Area level.	antique encumstances did not can for this, father it was attached to the original controls.
report and mod at the zerou love.	
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED	TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
N/A	

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED?
☐ YES ☑ NO
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF
THE CHP 202D?
☐ YES ☐ NO
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?
N/A

3, SUMMARY

On September 2, 2008, the subject was involved in a misdemeanor hit and run with another vehicle. The subject fled the scene in her vehicle and ultimately struck a pole and sustained critical injuries. There was the possibility that the subject was fleeing the scene because she was being chased by the victim of the original collision.

On September 11, 2008, based on the recommendations of Southern Division Investigative Services Unit, Antelope Valley Area Officer G. Hernandez contacted a business owner, whose surveillance cameras captured the initial hit and run. The business owner would only release a copy of the video tape if he signed a consent search form. The form was signed and attached with the collision report. This caused it not to be reviewed by a Sergeant. Sergeants review arrest reports but not collision reports. Due to the massive injuries suffered by the suspect and the possibility she may not survive, or if she does, will be gravely disabled so no 202/216 were prepared, as no charges were being filed.

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER		COMMANDER'S NAME	
Officer L. Mitchell / 17176		G. An Flavin, #10813	
SIGNATURE 2	DATE ()1/08/2009	SIGNATURE CAPT.	DATE 01/08/2009
PÁGE 2	Destroy F	Previous Editions	c202N/

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA **BALDWIN PARK 525** DIVISION SOUTHERN DATE 03/11/2009

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER J. PERRY #18035

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's

overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall i	be completed in blue link.
1	TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	0
	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED AND LE YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES? N/A	GIBLE?
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A	
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AN	D / OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
YES NO (1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN/A	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED	FOR ON OFFICER'S CHR 445's?
 C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED YES □ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN/A 	
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's. WHIC	H RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKE N/A	
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE	APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
☐ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKE N/A	EN?
 F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO	D THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
	Destroy Previous Editions

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)	
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED?	
☐ YES ☑ NO	
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?	
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACRO	OSS SECTION TWO OF
□YES □NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
3. SUMMARY	

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER COMMANDER'S NAME SIGNATURE, J. PERRY #18035 SIGNATURE DATE DATE 03/11/2009 03/11/2009

Destroy Previous Editions

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	AREA	DIVISION	DATE
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL	Castaic-E/F-541	Southern	03/11/2009
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	L. Parilla #17332	ER	
INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed. The findings of this audit shall be submitted. Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answif additional comments are necessary, the information include significant findings, accomplishments or coverall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be complete.	to Field Support Section, wer individual items with "yes tion can be placed in the Sur corrective actions, unresolved	in memorandum for or "no" answers, commary statement. To items, problems or	orm, as specified in General or fill in the blanks as indicated. The Summary statement should
TO A STOCK IN RECEIPTS A SOURCE DESCRIPTION OF STREET	TAL COUNT OF ALL CHE		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's		0	***************************************
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's		0	
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S		0	***************************************
	COMPLETION / ACCURAC		
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED AND LEGIE YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES? N/A (2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AND / O YES NO (1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN N/A C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED FOR YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CH ? R ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?	-IP 202D?	
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WHICH R YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE APF YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A	PROPRIATÉ CHP 202 / CHP 216?	ESPOND WITH THE APP	PROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO THE SECOND TO THE SECOND THE SEC	HE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSEN [*]	T WAS WITHDRAWN?	

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)

G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED?

YES NO

(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?

H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D's PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D?

YES NO

(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?

N/A

3. SUMMARY

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

L. Parilla #17332

SIGNATURE

DATE

3/11/09

DATE

3/11/09

Destroy Previous Editions

	·		
STATE OF CALIFORNIA	AREA	DIVISION	DATE 03/09/2009
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL	Central L.A	Division	Vartaria
CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	J. Perry #18035	NOEN.	
INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Forder 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer if additional comments are necessary, the information include significant findings, accomplishments or correction overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be completed for the findings.	Field Support Section individual items with "yo can be placed in the Sective actions, unresolv	i <mark>, in memorandum f</mark> es" or "no" answers, i ummary statement. ⁻	form, as specified in General or fill in the blanks as indicated. The Summary statement should
1. TOTAL	COUNT OF ALL CHE	202D'S	
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's		()	
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's		0	
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S		0	
2. CC	MPLETION / ACCURA	ACY	美国的国际中央
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES? N/A (2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AND / OR L YES NO (1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	OCATIONS ON THE SAME	CHP 202D?	
N/A C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED FOR ON YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A	N OFFICER'S CHP 415's?		
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D'S, WHICH RESU YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A	LTED IN AN ARREST, COR	RESPOND WITH THE AP	PROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE APPROF ☐ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A	PRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216	?	
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO THE C	CHP 202D's WHERE CONSE	NT WAS WITHDRAWN?	

(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?

 N/Λ

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)
G. WERE AN	IY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED?
YES	□ NO
(1) IF Y	ES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?
N/A	
H. WERE AL	L THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF
THE CHP 202	DP
YES	□NO
(1) IF NO	D, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?
N/A	
	3. SUMMARY
V2005-10-20/10/	

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER COMMANDER'S NAME LL Schaeffer J. Perry #18035 DATE DATE 03/09/2009 03/09/2009 Destroy Previous Editions c202N507.pdf

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	AREA DIVISION DATE East Los Angeles (535) Southern 03/11/2009 AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER J. PERRY #18035
The findings of this audit shall be submit Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. If additional comments are necessary, the inf	bleted for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms, ted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated, ormation can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's I be completed in blue ink.
FC 17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17	TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	. 0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	0
	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
☐ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES? N/A (2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS A ☐ YES ☐ NO (1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS T N/A C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTE ☐ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAN/A	D FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's? KEN?
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WH YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA N/A	CH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216? KEN?
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO TH YES NO (1) IF NO. WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA N/A	
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN? KEN?

YES 🗸	10
(1) IF YES, W.	S ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?
LL MEDE ALL THE	DEFLICAL TO CIONE HUMADI E TO CIONE AND ECONICANT DENIEDE CUD 2020% DEODEDLY MADVED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF
THE CHP 202D?	REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D's PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF
☐ YES ☐	0
(1) IF NO, WH	T CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?
N/A	

3. SUMMARY

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER COMMANDER'S NA L PERRY #18035 SIGNATURE SIGNATURE DATE DATE 03/11/2009 11524 03/11/2009 PAGE 2

Destroy Previous Editions

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	AREA	DIVISION	DATE
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL	ISU (509)	Southern	03/23/2009
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL	AUDITOR'S NAME / ID N	JMBER	
CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	J. Perry #18035		
INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed fo The findings of this audit shall be submitted to F Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer in If additional comments are necessary, the information of include significant findings, accomplishments or correct overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be comp	ield Support Section dividual items with "can be placed in the strictive actions, unresoluted in blue ink.	n, in memorandum for yes" or "no" answers, or Summary statement. The ved items, problems or p	m, as specified in General fill in the blanks as indicated. e Summary statement should
SUBSECTION OF THE SUBSECTION O	COUNT OF ALL CH	Application of the second seco	
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's		15	*
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's		10	
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 2020's			
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's			
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's			
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S		25	
2. CO	MPLETION / ACCUR	RACY	
 YES			
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AND / OR LC YES NO (1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?		E CHP 202D?	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED FOR ON YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? In the First Quarter, some officers did not. They were co		was made on their monthly	evaluations.
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D'S, WHICH RESUL ☑ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	TED IN AN ARREST, COI	RRESPOND WITH THE APPR	OPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
			(6)
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE APPROPI ☑ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	RIATE CHP 202 / CHP 21	6?	

F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?

YES NO

N/A

(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

stop and no such instances in this reporting period.

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065
2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED? YES NO (1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A
3. SUMMARY
Consent searches conducted by members of the Investigative Services Unit (ISU) are typically the result of on-going criminal investigations,

informant information or personal observations by the investigator. Rarely are consent searches conducted following a simple enforcement

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER COMMANDER'S NAME J. Perry #18035 SIGNATURE SIGNATURE DATE 3-23-09 Destroy Previous Editions

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA LARTMC (514) DIVISION

DATE

SOUTHERN 03/17/2009

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER J. PERRY #18035

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be completed in blue ink.

1. TOTAL COU	INT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	0
2. COMPL	ETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D's FULLY COMPLETED AND LEGIBLE?	
YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
N/A	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AND / OR LOCATION	DNS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
YES NO	
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A	
IN/A	3
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D's ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED FOR ON OFFIC	CER'S CHP 415's?
☐ YES ☐ NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WHICH RESULTED II	N AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
TYES TNO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE APPROPRIATE	CHP 202 / CHP 216?
YES NO	OTI 2027 OTII 210;
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
S. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NADRATIVE ATTACHED TO THE OUR 200	D'S WHEDE CONCENT WAS WITHDDAWNS
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO THE CHP 202	DS WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWIN!
☐ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065
2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED?
☐ YES ☑ NO
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D's PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D?
☐ YES ☐ NO
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?
N/A
3. SUMMARY

COMMANDER'S NAME AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER J. PERRY #18035 DATE DATE SIGNATURE 03/17/2009 03/17/2009 PAGE 2

	<i>16</i>		
STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL	AREA 540	Southern Division	01/08/2009
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL	AUDITOR'S NAME / II		01/00/2009
CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	Officer L. Mito	chell / 17176	
INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed. The findings of this audit shall be submitted. Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Ans If additional comments are necessary, the information include significant findings, accomplishments or overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be	to Field Support Sections were individual items with ation can be placed in the corrective actions, unrestive actions, unrestive actions.	tion, in memorandum form, n "yes" or "no" answers, or fill e Summary statement. The Stolved items, problems or pro	, as specified in General in the blanks as indicated. Summary statement should
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's		0	
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	. COMPLETION / ACC		Extract Control of the control of th
☐ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES? N/A (2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AND / ☐ YES ☐ NO (1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN N/A C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED FOR	N?		
☐ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN N/A			
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WHICH I YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN N/A		CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROF	'RIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE AP YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN N/A		216?	
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO TO YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN N/A		NSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?	

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED?
YES NO
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?
N/A
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF
THE CHP 202D?
☐ YES ☐ NO
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?
N/A
X.
3. SUMMARY

The Newhall Area did not have any completed 202D's for the 2008 calendar year.

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER		COMMANDER'S NAME
Officer L. Mitchell / 17176		Captain Mark Odle, #11701
SIGNATURE	DATE 01/08/2009	SIGNATURE 7 27 AU DATE 01/08/2009

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL	AREA Santa Fe Springs 550 AUDITOR'S NAME /ID NUMBER	SOUTHERN	DATE 03/11/2009	
CHP 202D A0DIT - DIVISION LEVEL CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	J. PERRY #18035			
INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for ea The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer indivi If additional comments are necessary, the information can include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be complete	Support Section, in dual items with "yes" of the placed in the Summ actions, unresolved ited in blue ink.	memorandum form, r "no" answers, or fill in ary statement. The Su ems, problems or prog	as specified in General n the blanks as indicated. ummary statement should	
1. TOTAL CO	UNT OF ALL CHP 202	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE		
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's		0		
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's				
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's		0		
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's		0		
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's		0		
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S		1		
THE PARTY OF THE P	ETION / ACCURACY		THE RESERVE OF STREET	
A: WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED AND LEGIBLE? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?				
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?			71	
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AND / OR LOCATI ☐ YES ☑ NO (1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	ONS ON THE SAME CHP 2	202D?		
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED FOR ON OFFI YES NO	CER'S CHP 415's?			
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WHICH RESULTED YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? No arrest made.	N AN ARREST, CORRESPO	OND WITH THE APPROPR	IATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?	
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE APPROPRIATE	CHP 202 / CHP 216?			
✓ YES ✓ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?				
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO THE CHP 202 YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? Consent was not withdrawn.	2D's WHERE CONSENT WA	AS WITHDRAWN?		

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065
2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED?
☐ YES ☑ NO
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF
THE CHP 202D?
☐ YES ☑ NO
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?
N/A
3. SUMMARY
是一个人,我们就是一个人,我们就是一个人的人,我们就是一个人的人,我们就是一个人的人,我们就是一个人的人,我们就是一个人的人,我们就是一个人的人,我们就是一个人

COMMANDER'S NAME AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER LT. R. VELASCO J. PERRY #18035 DATE SIGNATURE SIGNATURE DATE 03/11/2009 03/11/2009 PAGE 2

Destroy Previous Editions

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	AREA		DIVISION	DATE
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL		`H LA 530	SOUTHERN	03/09/2009
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL		R'S NAME / ID NUMBER		
CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	J. PER	RRY #18035		
INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be compared findings of this audit shall be submited order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. If additional comments are necessary, the infectude significant findings, accomplishments overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall	tted to Field Support Answer individual its or mation can be place or corrective action. I be completed in blue	ort Section, in ems with "yes" of the Summ is, unresolved it ue ink.	memorandum for or "no" answers, or nary statement. The ems, problems or p	rm, as specified in General fill in the blanks as indicated. e Summary statement should
Fig. 44. Print of the Print of the Conference of	TOTAL COUNT O	FALL CHP 202		
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's			00	
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's			1	
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's			0	
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's			0	
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's			0	
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S			1	AND
		1/ACCURACY		
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED AND IT YES IN NO (1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES? SECOND QUARTER: SECTION 3, ELEME (2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? 202D WAS RETURNED TO OFFICER FOR	NTS #2, #3 AND #4 '			PERVISOR WHO REVIEWED
WAS ADVISED OF THE OVERSIGHT. B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS A YES NO (1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS T		N THE SAME CHP	202D?	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTE ☑ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA		OHP 415's?		
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D'S, WH YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA N/A		RREST, CORRESP	POND WITH THE APPE	ROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO TH ☑ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA		202 / CHP 216?		
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TANK		HERE CONSENT W	VAS WITHDRAWN?	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	
2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)	
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED? YES NO (1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?	
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D's PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS THE CHP 202D?	SECTION TWO OF
YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
3. SUMMARY	
SOUTH LA ONLY HAD ONE 202D FOR THE 2008 YEAR AND WAS IN CONJUNCTION WITH MOBILE FIELD FO	ORCE ACTIVITY

BY AN OFFICER NOT ASSIGNED TO SOUTH LA AND REVIEWED BY A SUPERVISOR NOT ASSIGNED TO SOUTH LA.

COMMANDER'S NAME AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER CPT. CHRIS O'QUINN J. PERRY #18035 DATE DATE 03/09/2009 03/09/2009

PAGE 2 Destroy Previous Editions

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL	WEST LA 565	SOUTHERN	DATE 03/09/2009
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL	AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMB		7.2.7.2.7.2
CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	J. PERRY #18035		
INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for the findings of this audit shall be submitted to Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer If additional comments are necessary, the information include significant findings, accomplishments or corresponded in the property overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be compared to the property of the prope	Field Support Section, individual items with "year can be placed in the Suspective actions, unresolve upleted in blue ink.	in memorandum for s" or "no" answers, or mmary statement. The d items, problems or p	m, as specified in General fill in the blanks as indicated. e Summary statement should
	L COUNT OF ALL CHP		
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's		0	
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S		0	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T
The property of the property o	OMPLETION / ACCURA	CY	
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED AND LEGIBLE? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES? N/A			
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?			
TO THE PARTY OF TH	CONTIONS ON THE SAME C	UB 202D2	
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AND / OR L YES NO (1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? - N/A	OCATIONS ON THE SAME C	nr 202D?	248. 94
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED FOR OF YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A	NOFFICER'S CHP 4158?		
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D'S, WHICH RESU YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A	ILTED IN AN ARREST, CORR	ESPOND WITH THE APPR	OPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE APPRO ☐ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A	PRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?		
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO THE C ☐ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A	CHP 202D's WHERE CONSEN	T WAS WITHDRAWN?	

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

2. COMPLETION / ACCURA	CY (continued)			
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LC	OW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVE	RED?		
YES NO				
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?				
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENI THE CHP 202D?	ED" CHP 202D's PROPERLY MAF	RKED ACROS	S SECTION TWO	ЭF
YES NO		16		
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?			60	
N/A				
a contract of the contract of				

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER COMMANDER'S NAME J. PERRY #18035 LT. GORDON DATE DATE 03/09/2009 03/09/2009 Destroy Previous Ed c202N507.pdf

OTATS OF DAMIFORNIA	Loss	Investor.	laure.
STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL	WEST VALLEY 580	SOUTHERN	03/09/2009
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL	AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER	Jaconinaki	03/09/2009
CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	J. PERRY #18035		
INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer If additional comments are necessary, the information include significant findings, accomplishments or correction overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be completed.	Field Support Section, in individual items with "yes" on can be placed in the Summective actions, unresolved it	memorandum for or "no" answers, or nary statement. Th	m, as specified in General fill in the blanks as indicated e Summary statement should
1. JOTA	L COUNT OF ALL CHP 202	2D'S	
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's		1	
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's		0	
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S		1	
2. CC	OMPLETION / ACCURACY		
YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES? (2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AND / OR L YES NO (1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	OCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP :	202D?	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED FOR ON YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	N OFFICER'S CHP 415's?	8	
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WHICH RESU ✓ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	ILTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESP	OND WITH THE APPR	OPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE APPROAU YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	PRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?		

F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO THE CHP 202D'S WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?

✓ YES □ NO

(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED?
☐ YES ☑ NO
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D's PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D?
☑ YES ☐ NO
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?
N/A
3. SUMMARY

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER		COMMANDER'S NAME	
J. PERRY #18035		JEFFREY D.	(2000 M 21N)
SIGNATURE	DATE 03/09/2009	SIGNATURE	DATE 03/09/2009

PAGE 2

Destroy Previous Editions

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

AREA DIVISION DATE
AIR OPS (518) SOUTHERN 03/10/2009
AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER
L. PARILLA 17332

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be completed in blue ink.

ovo, ali ilitali ge	
to the state of th	TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	0
100 F A F A F A F A F A F A F A F A F A F	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED AND L YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES? N/A	EGIBLE?
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS A ☐ YES ☐ NO (1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAIN /A	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D's ACCURATELY ACCOUNTE	D FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA N/A	
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WHI	CH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAINA	
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THI	E APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAIN	
WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED	TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAI N/A	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPT 065
2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED? YES NO (1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN? N/A
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A
3. SUMMARY
No 202(d) forms required.

COMMANDER'S NAME AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER L. PARILLA 17332 OCBILA PS, NIFINACTIT DATE SIGNATURE DATE SIGNATURÉ 31.0/09 7/16/09 PAGE 2

Destroy Previous Editions

Date:

March 10, 2009

To:

Field Support Section

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Border Division

File No.:

601.9857.17381

Subject:

2009 ANNUAL 202D, CONSENT SEARCH FORM AUDIT

As required by General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy, Border Division has completed its annual 202D audit, and is providing the following information for your review.

For the period of January 1, 2008, through December 31, 2008, Border Division personnel performed a total of forty-six consent searches. Eleven of the forty-six consent searches resulted in a positive find, representing twenty-four percent of the total searches performed. Thirty-three CHP 202Ds were audited representing seventy-two percent of all searches performed. All of the consent searches detailed on the audited 202Ds were found to have been conducted within policy.

Discrepancies discovered during the audit included missing officer and/or subject information in section two of the first page of the CHP 202D and missing copies of the corresponding CHP 415s. The responsible Areas have taken corrective action to ensure employees properly document their use of the CHP 202D according to Departmental policy. Should you require additional information, please contact Officer Curtis Duray of Border Division Staff Services at (858) 650-3600.

G. A. DOMINGUEZ, Chief

Date:

March 6, 2009

To:

Field Support Section

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Inland Division

File No.:

801.10552.14785

Subject:

ANNUAL 202D, CONSENT SEARCH FORM AUDIT

As required by General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy, Inland Division has completed its annual 202D audit, and is providing the following information for your review.

For the period of January 1, 2008, through December 31, 2008, Inland Division personnel performed a total of 25 consent searches. All 202Ds were audited for this period. The majority of the forms had no issues, however, the following discrepancies were noted:

There were three instances of incorrect administrative procedures which are listed and addressed under items #2A, B and C on the 202N (CHP 202D Audit-Division Level). All personnel involved were counseled and follow up training in concurrence with G.O. 100.91 has been conducted at the Area level. The effected documents were then properly processed and filed.

No other discrepancies were discovered during the audit. Should you require additional information, please contact Lieutenant Ben Nocon of Inland Division at (909) 806-2400.

6-J. P. TALBOTT, Chief

Attachment

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065
2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED? ☐ YES ☑ NO (1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?
3. SUMMARY

2 A (1) Outdated versions of the 202D were used on a few occasions. As a result, all officers have been given additional training on the completion of CHP 202Ds and correct revisions.

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

Sgt. Ronald Seldon/ 14785

SIGNATURE

MMANDER'S NAME J. Talbott SIGNATURE

DATE

Destroy Previous Editions PAGE 2

c202N507.pdf

STATE OF CALIFORMA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

AREA	Inland	03/06/2009
AUDITOR'S NAME / ID	NUMBER	
Sgt. Ronald Se	don/ 14785	

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be completed in blue ink.

overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall	be completed in blue ink.
Difference of the property of the state of t	TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	8
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	17
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	25
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL OIL 2020 O	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
signature on the 202D form also occurred on 3 (2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	e signature of the subject on the 202D as required by policy. Failure to obtain a supervisor's separate occasions. Please see section #3 for further information. How up training took place at the Area level to ensure policy guidelines are being followed
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS A YES NO (1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA One 202D was found to have more than one so officers.	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTE YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA All involved officers have been counseled and and policy regarding the proper completion of	KEN? the incidents have been documented. Areas are conducting ongoing review of G.O. 100.91
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WHI	CH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216? KEN?
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED ☑ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN? KEN?

Date:

April 1, 2009

To:

Field Support Section

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Capitol Protection Section

File No.:

025.11844.12150

Subject:

2008 AUDIT OF CHP 202D ACTIVITY – NEGATIVE REPORT

Capitol Protection Section (CPS) recently completed a self-audit of the Section's CHP 202D, *Consent Search*, records from 2008. A check of the Area Information System revealed there were no CHP 202Ds submitted by CPS personnel in 2008. Further, because of the unique nature of enforcement duties typical of Commands within Protective Services Division (PSD), CPS is the only Command who would normally utilize the *Consent Search* and/or *Form* under current policy. Therefore, this memorandum also represents a negative report for PSD. If you have any questions, please contact Sergeant Richard Desmond, ID 14537, at (916) 322-3337.

R. P. GHIGLIERI, Captain

Commander

CONFIDENTIAL

Date:

March 24, 2009

To:

Field Support Section

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Coastal Division

File No.:

701.11010.A11885

Subject:

ANNUAL 202D, CONSENT SEARCH FORM AUDIT

As required by General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy, Coastal Division has completed its annual 202D audit, and is providing the following information for your review. For the period of January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008, no consent searches were performed in Coastal Division. All areas completed the 202M reporting process. No CHP 202Ds were completed during the reporting period, therefore no 202Ds were audited and no issues were noted in the reporting process. Should you require additional information, please contact Lieutenant Greg Williams of Coastal Division at (805)549-3261, ext. 204.

SURY, VISST CH.

A. S. CUEVAS, Chief

Attachment

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	AREA	DIVISION	DATE
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL	701	Coastal	03/24/2009
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL	AUDITOR'S NA	AME / ID NUMBER	
CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065			
INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be compl The findings of this audit shall be submitt Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. A If additional comments are necessary, the infor include significant findings, accomplishments of overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall the	ted to Field Support Inswer individual items Irmation can be placed or corrective actions, u	Section, in memorandum to with "yes" or "no" answers, or in the Summary statement. In resolved items, problems or	form, as specified in General or fill in the blanks as indicated. The Summary statement should
1.	TOTAL COUNT OF A	LL CHP 202D'S	
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's		0	
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S		0	
	2. COMPLETION / A	ACCURACY	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AN YES NO (1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA		HE SAME CHP 202D?	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAK		415's?	
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WHICE YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAK		EST, CORRESPOND WITH THE A	PPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE	E APPROPRIATE CHP 202 /	/ CHP 216?	

c202N507 frp

F WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO THE CHP 202D'S WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?

YES NO

(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL
CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065
2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued) G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED?
YES NO
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D's PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D? [] YES [] NO
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?
3. SUMMARY

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER		COMMANDER'S NAME		
SIGNATURE	DATE	SIGNATURE	DATE	

	F)			

Date:

June 5, 2008

To:

Enforcement Services Division

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Field Support Section

File No.:

065.13955.working.200870129

Subject:

2007 CHP 202D, CONSENT SEARCH, AUDIT

Beginning in May 2007, all uniformed personnel conducting a consent search were required to begin using the revised CHP 202D, Consent Search. Per General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy, each area is required to conduct a self audit of all CHP 202Ds and to submit quarterly reports to their respective Divisions regarding the total number of consent searches performed during the reporting period.

Each Division is required to conduct physical random audits of each Area's CHP 202Ds annually and submit their findings in a memorandum to Field Support Section (FSS) by April 1 of each year. The memorandum shall include the total number of consent searches performed during the reporting period, number of CHP 202Ds audited, and the percentage of CHP 202Ds audited. Divisions shall include in its memorandum any discrepancies and plan of action to correct such discrepancies. FSS shall then compile the statistical data from all Divisions and submit a report to the Office of the Commissioner by July 1 of each year.

For the period of April 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007, the California Highway Patrol documented a total of 117 consent searches. Of the 117 consent searches documented, ninety-four (94) CHP 202Ds were audited by their respective Divisions. The following discrepancies were noted in the Division audits:

- 1. Incomplete documentation on CHP 415, Daily Field Record.
- 2. CHP 202D did not have reviewer's signature.
- 3. Use of obsolete forms.
- 4. Failure to attach CHP 202D to corresponding investigation.
- 5. Inconsistent manner in which to document the consent to search after probable cause was developed.
- 6. One instance of an incomplete CHP 202D and incorrect accounting for that specific CHP 202D by the Division auditor.
- 7. Incorrect use of CHP 202D The CHP 202D was used for a probable cause search when a consent search was not requested by the officer.

Enforcement Services Division Page 2 June 5, 2008

All situations were addressed by each Division with the affected Areas at the time of the Division's audit. Additionally, FSS and the Office of the Academy are addressing the discrepancies noted in this audit through the annual search and seizure refresher training that will be available on the intranet.

Listed below are the findings from each Division's audit for the period of April 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007.

Northern Division

Total Number of CHP 202Ds:	18
Total Number of CHP 202Ds audited:	18
Percentage of CHP 202Ds audited:	100
Discrepancies:	7

- 1. One CHP 202D was a probable cause search that had been documented on an obsolete form.
- 2. One CHP 415, Daily Field Record, did not contain the subject's CDL number as required for verbal warnings.
- 3. Four CHP 202Ds were used improperly to document probable cause searches.
- 4. One CHP 202D did not have a reviewer's signature.

The affected areas addressed the issues individually with the involved officers and sergeants responsible for the review process. Reinforcement of CHP policy regarding consent search documentation during briefings to field personnel was discussed with the Area commanders.

Valley Division

Total Number of CHP 202Ds:	1
Total Number of CHP 202Ds audited:	1
Percentage of CHP 202Ds audited:	100
Discrepancies:	0

Golden Gate Division

Total Number of CHP 202Ds:	0
Total Number of CHP 202Ds audited:	0
Percentage of CHP 202Ds audited:	0
Discrepancies:	0

Enforcement Services Division Page 3 June 5, 2008

Central Division

10
10
100
3

1. Three areas utilized an old version of the CHP 202D (Rev 9-03) to document probable cause searches.

The involved commanders were contacted and made aware of the findings of the audit. The commanders will address the issue individually with the involved officers and sergeants responsible for the review process. Reinforcement of CHP policy regarding consent search documentation will be discussed during subsequent briefings to field personnel.

Border Division

Total Number of CHP 202Ds:	40
Total Number of CHP 202Ds audited:	31
Percentage of CHP 202Ds audited:	77
Discrepancies:	0

Coastal Division

Total Number of CHP 202Ds:	0
Total Number of CHP 202Ds audited:	0
Percentage of CHP 202Ds audited:	0
Discrepancies:	0

Inland Division

Total Number of CHP 202Ds:	21
Total Number of CHP 202Ds audited:	7
Percentage of CHP 202Ds audited:	33
Discrepancies:	3

1. One CHP 202D was not completed correctly.

Enforcement Services Division Page 4 June 5, 2008

The affected area addressed the issue individually with the involved officer and was counseled on CHP policy regarding consent search documentation and obtaining prior approval from a supervisor.

- 2. There were two instances of incorrect administrative procedures.
 - a. Use of obsolete form.
 - b. Failing to attach CHP 202D to the appropriate CHP 202, Driving Under the Influence Arrest Investigation Report, or CHP 216, Arrest Investigation Report.

One of the affected areas addressed the issue individually with the involved officer and the sergeant responsible for review. Reinforcement of CHP policy regarding consent search documentation was discussed during subsequent briefings to field personnel. The other affected area gave appropriate training and provided a copy of GO 100.91 to the new court clerk. The documents were then properly processed and filed.

Southern Division

Total Number of CHP 202Ds: 27
Total Number of CHP 202Ds audited: 27
Percentage of CHP 202Ds audited: 100
Discrepancies: 4

- 1. One CHP 202D was completed on an obsolete form, no signature for consent, no report or narrative attached and no findings were indicated.
- 2. Three CHP 202Ds were completed for a probable cause and the old narrative format used.

The discrepancies noted were discussed at length with the affected areas. The problem appears to have been resolved.

Protective Services Division

Total Number of CHP 202Ds:	0
Total Number of CHP 202Ds audited:	0
Percentage of CHP 202Ds audited:	0
Discrepancies:	0

Enforcement Services Division Page 5 June 5, 2008

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Sergeant Jeff Neiman or Officer Diane O'Brien of Field Support Section at (916) 445-0752.

M. J. BRUNET, Captain

Commander

Mobile

Attachments

Date:

To:

Office of the Commissioner

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Enforcement Services Division

File No.:

060.13955.065.Working.200870129

Subject:

2007 CHP 202D, CONSENT SEARCH, AUDIT

The following CHP 202D, Consent Search, audit has been prepared pursuant to the requirements contained in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy.

Should you desire further information regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me or Captain Mark Brunet of Field Support Section at (916) 445-0752.

S. J. VAUGHN, Chief

Attachments

cc: Field Support Section

Date:

March 31, 2008

To:

Field Support Section

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Northern Division

File No.:

101.9968.10935.08memo202Dannualaudit.doc

Subject:

2007 ANNUAL 202D, CONSENT SEARCH FORM AUDIT

As required by General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy, Northern Division has completed its annual 202D audit and is providing the following information for your review.

For the period of April 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007, Northern Division personnel performed a total of 18 consent searches. Eighteen CHP 202Ds were audited representing 100 percent of all searches performed. The majority of forms had no issues; however, the following discrepancies were noted:

One CHP 202D was a probable cause search that had been documented on an obsolete form. One CHP 415, Daily Field Record, did not contain the subject's CDL number as required for verbal warnings. The affected Area addressed the issue individually with the involved officer and the sergeant responsible for review. Four CHP 202Ds were used improperly to document probable cause searches. One CHP 202D did not have a reviewer's signature. Reinforcement of CHP policy regarding consent search documentation during briefings to field personnel was discussed with the Area commander.

No other discrepancies were discovered during the audit. Should you require additional information, please contact Lieutenant Tim Saxon at (530) 225-2715.

M. C. A. SANTIAGO, Chief

Date:

March 27, 2008

To:

Field Support Section

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Valley Division

File No.:

201.10916.15583.08-124

Subject:

ANNUAL CHP 202D, CONSENT SEARCH FORM AUDIT

As required by General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy, Valley Division has completed its annual 202D audit, and is providing the following information for your review.

For the period of April 1, 2007, through December 31, 2007, Valley Division personnel performed a total of one consent search. One CHP 202D was audited representing 100 percent of all searches performed.

No discrepancies were discovered during the audit. Should you require additional information, please contact Lieutenant John Arrabit of Valley Division at (916) 464-2090.

S. CUEVAS, Chief

Attachments

STATE OF (* 1,5 ORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL	Woodland	Valley	02/11/2008
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL	AUDITOR'S NAME / ID N		02/11/2008
CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	Andra Stockwell		
INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed. The findings of this audit shall be submitted. Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answ. If additional comments are necessary, the informational include significant findings, accomplishments or coverall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be converted to the converted of Positive Find CHP 202D's Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	to Field Support Section wer individual items with "tion can be placed in the orrective actions, unreso	on, in memorandum f yes" or "no" answers, o Summary statement. I lved items, problems o	form, as specified in General or fill in the blanks as indicated. The Summary statement should
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	***************************************	0	
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's		0	
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S		0	
	COMPLETION / ACCUR		
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AND / C YES NO (1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN		E CHP 202D?	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D's ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED FOIL TYPES NO	R ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?		
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?			
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WHICH R		DRRESPOND WITH THE AF	PROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?			
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE APP		16?	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?)		
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO TI	HE CHP 202D's WHERE CON	SENT WAS WITHDRAWN?	

(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?

N/A

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)

G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED?

YES NO

(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?

N/A

H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D's PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D?

YES NO

(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?

N/A

3. SUMMARY

Area conducted 0 consent searches from April 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.

Auditor's Name / ID Number

Andra Stockwell / 15583

SIGNATURE

DATE

O2 1108

Destroy Previous Editions

COMMANDER'S NAME

Adam Cuevas

DATE

3/23/08

c202N507.frp

STATE OF CALIFORN'S	AREA	DIVISION	DATE		
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL	Truckee	Valley	03/27/2008		
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL	AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NU				
CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	Andra Stockwell /	15583			
INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be completed in blue ink.					
	OTAL COUNT OF ALL CH				
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's		0			
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's 0				
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's		0			
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's		.0			
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's		0			
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S		0			
2	2. COMPLETION / ACCUR	ACY			
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D's FULLY COMPLETED AND LEG ☐ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	IBLE?				
N/A					

(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?

(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?

(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?

YES NO

YES NO

YES NO

YES NO

YES NO

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AND / OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?

C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415'S?

E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?

F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO THE CHP 202D'S WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?

D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WHICH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)

G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED?

YES NO

(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?

N/A

H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D?

YES NO

(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?

N/A

3. SUMMARY

Area conducted 0 consent searches from April 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.

Auditor's Name / ID Number

Andra Stockwell / 15583

SIGNATURE

DATE

032 708

Destroy Previous Editions

COMMANDER'S NAME

Adam Quevas

SIGNATURE

DATE

032 708

C202N507.frp

STATE OF CALIFC RNIA	AREA	DIVISION	DATE
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL	Tracy	Valley	03/27/2008
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	AUDITOR'S NAME Andra Stocky		
CHF 20211 (New 5-07) OF1 005	Andra Stock	WCII / 13363	
INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed the findings of this audit shall be submitted. Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. And If additional comments are necessary, the informinclude significant findings, accomplishments of overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be to the findings of Positive Find CHP 202D's	ed to Field Support Senswer individual items with mation can be placed in the corrective actions, unre	ction, in memorandum th "yes" or "no" answers, on the Summary statement. the solved items, problems of	form, as specified in General or fill in the blanks as indicated. The Summary statement should
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's		0	
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S		0	
	2. COMPLETION / ACC		
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES? N/A (2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS ANI YES NO	D / OR LOCATIONS ON THE S	SAME CHP 202D?	
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAK			
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED YES NO		5's?	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKE	=N?		
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WHIC	H RESULTED IN AN ARREST	, CORRESPOND WITH THE A	PPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKE	EN?		
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE A		IP 216?	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKE	EN?		
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO YES NO	O THE CHP 202D's WHERE C	CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN'	?

(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?

N/A

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)	
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED?	
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?	
N/A	
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D's PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TV THE CHP 202D? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A	O OF
3. SUMMARY	

Area conducted 0 consent searches from April 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

Andra Stockwell / 15583

SIGNATURE

DATE

COMMANDER'S NAME

Adam Quevas

SIGNATURE

DATE

C32708

Destroy Previous Editions

c202N507.frp

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be complete The findings of this audit shall be submitted			·	
CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	Andra Stockwell	/ 15583		
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL	AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER			
	Stockton	Valley	03/26/2008	
STATE OF CALIFORNIA	AREA	DIVISION	DATE	

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be completed in blue ink.

	1. TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 20	0 0
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 20	2D's 0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 20	2D's 0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 20	2D's 0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 20	2D's 0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202	PD'S 0
	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED YES NO	AND LEGIBLE?
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPAN	CIES?
N/A	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
D. DID ANY CHO 2020 HAVE MULTIPLE CUP II	ECTS AND / OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
YES NO	:CTS AND / OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION	WAS TAKEN?
N/A	
IVA	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D's ACCURATELY ACC	DUNTED FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION V	/AS TAKEN?
N/A	
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 2020 YES NO	's, WHICH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION V	AS TAKEN?
N/A	
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED YES NO	TO THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION V	'AS TAKEN?
N/A	
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTA	CHED TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION W	'AS TAKEN?
N/A	

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)

G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED?

YES NO

(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?

N/A

H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D's PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D?

YES NO

(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?

N/A

3. SUMMARY

Area conducted 0 consent searches from April 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

Andra Stockwell / 15583

SIGNATURE

DATE

3260F

Destroy Previous Editions

COMMANDER'S NAME

Adapt Quevas

DATE

23/03

C202N507.frp

STATE OF CAMIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	South Sacramento	Valley	02/15/2008
	AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER	₹	
	Andra Stockwell / 15583		

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be completed in blue ink.

overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall	l be completed in blue ink.
1,	. TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	. 0
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	0
	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D's FULLY COMPLETED AND YES NO	LEGIBLE?
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
N/A	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS A	AND LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHD 202D2
YES NO	AND FOR LOCATIONS ON THE SAIVIE CHE 202D?
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS T	AKEN?
NT/A	
N/A	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTE	ED FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
N/A	
1972	
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WH ☐ YES ☐ NO	IICH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
N/A	
 E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO TH ☐ YES ☐ NO 	IE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
N/A	
E WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED	TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
YES NO	TO THE OIL ZOEDS WHERE GORDERT WAS WITHDIAWIN:
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
N/A	

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

Area conducted 0 consent searches from April 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.

Auditor's Name / ID Number

Andra Stockwell / 15583

SIGNATURE

DATE

021908

Destroy Previous Editions

COMMANDER'S NAME

Adam Cuevas

DATE

021908

C202N507.frg

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	AREA	DIVISION	DATE
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL	South Lake Tahoe	Valley	02/13/2008
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL	AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER	?	1
CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	Andra Stockwell / 155	583	

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be completed in blue ink.

overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall I	be completed in blue ink.
1.	TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	0
	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED AND LI	EGIBLE?
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
N/A	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
(2) ************************************	
 B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AN ☐ YES ☐ NO 	ND / OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
	N/CNO
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
N/A	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D's ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED	FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
YES NO	366
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAK	KEN?
N/A	
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's. WHIC	CH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAK	KEN?
NT/A	
N/A	
E MARCHIE OBIGINAL CUR COOR ATTAQUED TO THE	ADDDODDIATE OUD 000 / OUD 0400
 E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE ☐ YES ☐ NO 	: APPROPRIATE CHP 2027 CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAK	(FN?
(1) II NO, WHAT CONNECTIVE ACTION WAS TAI	NEW:
N/A	
	TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAK	KEN?
N/A	

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065
2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED? YES NO
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?
N/A
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?
N/A
3. SUMMARY

Area conducted 0 consent searches from April 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.

Auditor's Name / ID NUMBER

Andra Stockwell / 15583

SIGNATURE

DATE

OZISOS

Destroy Previous Editions

COMMANDER'S NAME

Adam Cuevas

DATE

OZISOS

Destroy Previous Editions

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	Andra Stockwell /	15583		
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL	AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER			
	San Andreas	Valley	02/20/2008	
STATE OF CALIFORNIA	AREA	DIVISION	DATE	

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be completed in blue ink.

overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall	l be completed in blue ink.
1	. TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	0
	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED AND YES NO	LEGIBLE?
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
N/A	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS A	AND LOCATIONS ON THE CAME CUD 202D2
YES NO	AND / OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS T	TAKEN?
N/A	
5 755	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED YES NO	ED FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKFN?
N/A	(A)
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WH	HICH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
N/A	
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO TH	HE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
N/A	
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED YES NO	TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	4KEN?
N/A	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OF1 005
2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED? YES NO
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?
N/A
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?
N/A
3. SUMMARY

Area conducted 0 consent searches from April 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

Andra Stockwell / 15583

SIGNATURE

Adam Cuevas

DATE

BOATE

DESTROY Previous Editions

COMMANDER'S NAME

Adam Cuevas

DATE

COMMANDER'S NAME

Adam Cuevas

COMMANDER'S NAME

COMMANDER'S NAME

Adam Cuevas

COMMANDER'S NAME

CO

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	AREA	DIVISION	DATE
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL	Placerville	Valley	02/08/2008
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL	AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUM	MBER	
CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	Andra Stockwell /	15583	

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be completed in blue ink

overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be completed in	blue ink.
1. TOTAL COUN	T OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	0
	FION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D's FULLY COMPLETED AND LEGIBLE? YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
N/A	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AND / OR LOCATION YES \square NO	NS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
NT/A	
N/A	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED FOR ON OFFICE ☐ YES ☐ NO	:R'S CHP 415's?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D'S WHICH RESULTED IN	AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
☐ YES ☐ NO	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE APPROPRIATE C	CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO THE CHP 202D)'s WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
YES NO	THERE SOMETH WAS WITHDRAWN!
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

2. COMPI	LETION / ACCURACY (continued)
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF YES NO	SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED?
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?	
N/A	
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN" THE CHP 202D? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A	, AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF
	3. SUMMARY

Area conducted 0 consent searches from April 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER Andra Stockwell / 15583 COMMANDER'S NAME

SIGNATURE 021108 Adam Cuevas SIGNATURE

Destroy Previous Editions

DATE

c202N507.frp

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	AREA	DIVISION	DATE
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL	Oroville	Valley	02/27/2008
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL	AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER		
CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	Andra Stockwell / 15583		

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should

1	. TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	0
	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED AND YES NO	LEGIBLE?
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
N/A	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS . YES NO	AND / OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS	TAKEN?
N/A	
17/12	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D's ACCURATELY ACCOUNT	ED FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
YES NO	X .
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS T	AKEN?
N/A	
1 1/2 2	
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WE	HICH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS T.	AKEN?
N/A	
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE	HE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
YES NO	AVEND
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TO	ANEIN?
N/A	
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED YES NO	O TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TO	AKEN?
N/A	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065 2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued) G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED? YES NO (1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN? N/A H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A

Area conducted 0 consent searches from April 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.

3. SUMMARY

COMMANDER'S NAME AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER Andra Stockwell / 15583 Adam Cuevas SIGNATURE DATE SIGNATURE 032508

Destroy Previous Editions

c202N507.frp

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

AREA DIVISION DATE Valley 02/01/2008 North Sacramento AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER Andra Stockwell / 15583

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's

1	. TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	1
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	1
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL OF 2020 O	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
WERE ALL CHP 202D's FULLY COMPLETED AND	
YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
(8) 1411/17 0000000000000000000000000000000000	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS A	AND / OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
YES NO	
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS T	AKEN?
WERE ALL CHP 202D's ACCURATELY ACCOUNTE	ED FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
☑ YES ☐ NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
•	
	WALL DRAW TER IN AN ADDEST, CORDED ON THE LEVEL ADDRAWS OF THE CORD OF THE COR
VI YES NO	HICH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
-	AVENO
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	ANEIN?
WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO TH	HE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED	TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED YES NO	TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
YES NO	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

2. COMPLETION / ACCURAC	Y (continued)
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOV ☐ YES ☑ NO	N NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED?
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?	
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIE THE CHP 202D?	D" CHP 202D's PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF
YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
Not applicable.	
3. SUMMARY	

Area conducted 1 consent search in the fourth quarter. 100% (1 of 1) of the Area's 202Ds were audited. No discrepancies noted.

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

Andra Stockwell / 15583

SIGNATURE

Destroy Previous Editions

COMMANDER'S NAME

Adam Quevas

SIGNATURE

Adam Quevas

Destroy Previous Editions

C202N507.frp

STATE CF CALIFORNIA		AREA	DIVISION	DATE
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL		Grass Valley	Valley	03/14/2008
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL		AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBE Jaeson White / 14284		
CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065		Jaeson White / 14284		
INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be com The findings of this audit shall be subm Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. If additional comments are necessary, the infinclude significant findings, accomplishments overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall	itted to Field Answer individendation can be or corrective	Support Section, ideal items with "yes" pe placed in the Sumactions, unresolved	n memorandum for or "no" answers, or t nmary statement. Th	rm, as specified in General fill in the blanks as indicated. e Summary statement should
1	. TOTAL COL	JNT OF ALL CHP 2	02D'S	
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's			0	
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's			0	
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's			0	
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's			0	
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's			0	
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S			0	
	2. COMPL	ETION / ACCURAC	Y	
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED AND YES NO	LEGIBLE?			
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?				
N/A				
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?				
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS YES NO		IONS ON THE SAME CH	HP 202D?	
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS	TAKEN?			
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D's ACCURATELY ACCOUNT YES NO	ED FOR ON OFFI	ICER'S CHP 415's?		
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS T	AKEN?			

D.	DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WHICH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216? YES NO
	(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?
	N/A
E.	WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?

WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
YES NO

(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?

N/A

F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO THE CHP 202D'S WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?

YES NO

(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?

N/A

N/A

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL
CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

_
)F
_

Area conducted 0 consent searches from April 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

Jaeson White / 14284

SIGNATURE

Adam Crevas

SIGNATURE

DATE

SIGNATURE

Destroy Previous Editions

COMMANDER'S NAME

Adam Crevas

COMMANDER'S NAME

Adam Crevas

DATE

C202N507.frp

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL	Gold Run	DIVISION	03/14/2008
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL	AUDITOR'S NAME / ID N	Valley	03/14/2008
CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	Jaeson White / 14284		
INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for the findings of this audit shall be submitted to the Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer If additional comments are necessary, the information include significant findings, accomplishments or correction overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be com-	Field Support Section individual items with "year be placed in the ective actions, unresoluted in blue ink.	on, in memorandum for yes" or "no" answers, or Summary statement. The ved items, problems or	orm, as specified in General fill in the blanks as indicated. ne Summary statement should
	COUNT OF ALL CH	P 202D'S	
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's		0	
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	OMPLETION / ACCUR	0	
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED AND LEGIBLE? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES? N/A (2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AND / OR IN YES NO (1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED FOR O	OCATIONS ON THE SAM	E CHP 202D?	
YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A	NOT FISCH 4100.		
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D'S, WHICH RESU YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A			PROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE APPRO	PRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 2	16?	

c202N507.frp

F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO THE CHP 202D'S WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?

(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?

(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?

N/A

N/A

YES NO

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED?
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?
N/A
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A
3. SUMMARY

Area conducted 0 consent searches from April 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

Jaeson White / 14284

SIGNATURE

Adam Cuevas

SIGNATURE

Adam Cuevas

DATE

SIGNATURE

DESTROY Previous Editions

C202N507,frp

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	AREA	DIVISION	DATE
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL	Chico	Valley	02/27/2008
	AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER		
CHD 202N (Nov. 5.07) ODL 065	Andra Stockwell / 15583		

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's

0	verall findings. If handwritten, this form shal	ll be completed in blue ink.
\- <u></u>	1	. TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
_	Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	0
Ξ	Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
	Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
	Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
	Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
	TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	0
		2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
Α.	WERE ALL CHP 202D's FULLY COMPLETED AND YES NO	LEGIBLE?
	(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
	N/A	
	(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
В.	DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS A	AND / OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
	YES NO	
	(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS	TAKEN?
	N/A	
C.	WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTS YES NO	ED FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
	(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
	N/A	
D.	DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WH	HICH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
	(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
	N/A	· ·
E.	WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE YES NO	HE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
	(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
	N/A	
F.	WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED YES NO	TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
	(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
	N/A	

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065 2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued) G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED? YES NO (1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN? N/A H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D's PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A 3. SUMMARY

Area conducted 0 consent searches from April 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.

COMMANDER'S NAME AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER Adam Cuevas Andra Stockwell / 15583 DATE SIGNATURE SIGNATURE 932508 c202N507.frp

Destroy Previous Editions

STATE OF CAUFORNIA	AREA	DIVISION	DATE
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL	Auburn	Valley	01/25/2008
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL	AUDITOR'S NAME / ID N	NUMBER	
CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	Andra Stockwell	/ 15583	

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be completed in blue ink.

overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall	be completed in blue ink.
1.	. TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	0
	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D's FULLY COMPLETED AND I	LEGIBLE?
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
N/A	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS A	AND / OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
YES NO	
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS T	AKEN?
N/A	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D's ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED YES NO	ED FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
N/A	
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WH	IICH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
N/A	
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO TH	IE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
N/A	
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED YES NO	TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
N/A	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)

G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED?

YES NO

(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?

N/A

H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D's PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D?

YES NO

(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?

N/A

3. SUMMARY

Area conducted 0 consent searches from April 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.

Auditor's Name / ID Number

Andra Stockwell / 15583

SIGNATURE

DATE

0/3/08

Destroy Previous Editions

COMMANDER'S NAME

Adam Cuevas

3/23/03

DATE

0/3/08

C202N507.frp

STATE OF CILIFORNIA	AREA	DIVISION
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL	Amador	Valley
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL	AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER	

AREA	DIVISION	DATE
Amador	Valley	02/20/2008
AUDITOR'S NAME / ID N	UMBER	
Andra Stockwell	/ 15583	

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's

overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall	
The state of the s	TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	0
	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D's FULLY COMPLETED AND L YES NO	EGIBLE?
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
N/A	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AN	ND / OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
N/A	
. WERE ALL CHP 202D's ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED YES NO	D FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAK	KEN?
N/A	
DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WHI	CH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAK	KEN?
N/A	
WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE	E APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAI	NEIN!
N/A	
. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED	TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAK	KEN?
N/A	

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065		
2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)		
 G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED? ☐ YES ☐ NO 		
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?		
N/A		
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D? YES NO		
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?		
N/A		
3. SUMMARY		

Area conducted 0 consent searches from April 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.

Andra Stockwell / 15583

Adam Cuevas

SIGNATURE

DATE

DATE

DOS 2508

Destroy Previous Editions

COMMANDER'S NAME

Adam Cuevas

DATE

C202N507.frp

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	AREA	DIVISION	DATE
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL	Yuba-Sutter	Valley	03/26/2008
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL	AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUM	MBER	

Andra Stockwell / 15583

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's

overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall	be completed in blue ink.
1.	TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	0
	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED AND L	.EGIBLE?
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
N/A	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS A	ND / OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
N/A	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D's ACCURATELY ACCOUNTE	D FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
N/A	
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WHI	CH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
N/A	
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE YES NO	E APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
N/A	
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED	TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
N/A	

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)		
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED? YES NO		
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?		
N/A		
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?		
IVA		
3. SUMMARY		

Area conducted 0 consent searches from April 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

Andra Stockwell / 15583

SIGNATURE

DATE

DATE

DOLLOS

Destroy Previous Editions

COMMANDER'S NAME

Adam Quevas

DATE

DATE

C202N507.frp

Date:

April 11, 2008

To:

Field Support Section

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Golden Gate Division

File No.:

301.13645.12877

Subject:

2007 ANNUAL AUDIT OF CHP 202D

Golden Gate Division completed an audit of CHP 202D's for the reporting period of October 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007. Golden Gate Division Areas did not conduct any probable cause or consent searches during the reporting period.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Lieutenant Amy Mangan at (707) 648-4180.

Sharm Kig, br

T.M. BECHER, Chief

Date:

March 31, 2008

To:

Field Support Section

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Central Division

File No.:

401.11446.10883

Subject:

ANNUAL 202D, CONSENT SEARCH FORM AUDIT

As required by General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy, Central Division has completed its annual 202D audit, and is providing the following information for your review.

For the period of April 1, 2007, through December 31, 2007, Central Division personnel performed a total of ten (10) consent searches. All ten CHP 202Ds were audited representing 100 percent of all searches performed. The majority of forms had no issues, however the following discrepancy was noted:

Three Areas utilized the old revision of the CHP 202D (Rev 9-03) to document probable cause searches. Per General Order 100.91, probable cause searches are to be documented utilizing a CHP 202 or 216. The involved commanders were contacted and made aware of the findings of the audit. The affected Areas will address the issue individually with the involved officers and the sergeants responsible for review. Reinforcement of CHP policy regarding consent search documentation will be discussed during subsequent briefings to field personnel.

No other discrepancies were discovered during the audit. Should you require additional information please contact Lieutenant Lee Harjo of Central Division at (559) 277-7250.

D. S. MACGREGOR, Chief

Date:

March 31, 2007

To:

Field Support Section

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Border Division

File No.:

601.9457.13907

Subject:

ANNUAL 202D, CONSENT SEARCH FORM AUDIT

As required by General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy, Border Division has completed its annual 202D audit, and is providing the following information for your review.

For the period of April 1, 2007, through December 31, 2007, Border Division personnel performed a total of 40 consent searches. Six of the forty consent searches resulted in a positive find, representing 15 percent of the total searches performed. Thirty-one CHP 202D's were audited representing 77 percent of all searches performed. All of the consent searches detailed on the audited 202D's were found to have been conducted within policy.

No discrepancies were discovered during the audit. Should you require additional information, please contact Sergeant Michael Redel of Border Division Staff Services at (858)650-3600.

R.D. Carter, Chief

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	AREA 601 AUDITOR'S NAME/I Brian Bradsha		03/31/2008
INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Fi Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer in If additional comments are necessary, the information of include significant findings, accomplishments or correct overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be complete.	eld Support Section dividual items with the placed in the titre actions, unrestive actions, unrestive actions.	tion, in memorandum in "yes" or "no" answers, on statement.	form, as specified in General or fill in the blanks as indicated. The Summary statement should
1. TOTAL	COUNT OF ALL	CHP 202D'S	
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's		6	
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's		34	
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's		0	
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S		40	
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED AND LEGIBLE?	MPLETION / ACC	JRACY	
 ✓ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES? (2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AND / OR LO ☐ YES ☑ NO (1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED FOR ON ☑ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? 	OFFICER'S CHP 415's	s?	
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WHICH RESUL' ☑ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?			PPROPRIATE CHP 2027 CHP 216?
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE APPROPF YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	RIATE CHP 202 / CHP	216?	
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO THE CH ☑ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	HP 202D's WHERE CC	NSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?	?

STAT: F CAL FORNIA
DEPARTMEL. OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)
. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED? ☐ YES ☑ NO
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?
WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D's PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF HE CHP 202D? ☑ YES □ NO
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?
3. SUMMARY

As required by General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy, Border Division has completed its annual 202D audit, and is providing the following information for your review.

For the period of April 1, 2007, through December 31, 2007, Border Division personnel performed a total of 40 consent searches. Six of the forty consent searches resulted in a positive find, representing 15 percent of the total searches performed. Thirty-one CHP 202D's were audited representing 77 percent of all searches performed. All of the consent searches detailed on the audited 202D's were found to have been conducted within policy.

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER		COMMANDER'S NAME	
Brian Bradshaw / #13907		R. D. Carter	
SKINATURE	040808	SIGNATURE	040808

Date:

March 31, 2008

To:

Field Support Section

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Coastal

File No.:

701.10377.11010

Subject:

ANNUAL 202D, CONSENT SEARCH FORM AUDIT

As required by General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy, Coastal Division has completed its annual 202D audit, and is providing the following information for your review. For the period of April 1, 2007, through December 31, 2007, no consent searches were performed in Coastal Division. All areas completed the 202M reporting process. No CHP 202Ds were completed during the reporting period, therefore no 202Ds were audited and no issues were noted in the reporting process. Should you require additional information, please contact Lieutenant Greg Williams of Coastal Division at (805)549-3261.

Attachment

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL	701	Coastal	03/25/2008
CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL	AUDITOR'S NAME /		***
CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065	Lt. Greg Willi	ams	
INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for the findings of this audit shall be submitted to forder 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer if additional comments are necessary, the information include significant findings, accomplishments or correspondent.	Field Support Sec ndividual items with can be placed in the active actions, unre	tion, in memorandum to "yes" or "no" answers, come Summary statement.	form, as specified in General or fill in the blanks as indicated. The Summary statement should
overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be comp	pleted in blue ink.		
1. TOTAL	COUNT OF ALL	CHP 202D'S	
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	ALLOW THE STREET	0	
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's		0	
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's		0	
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S		0	
	MPLETION / ACC		
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D's FULLY COMPLETED AND LEGIBLE?		The state of the s	
YES NO			
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?			
No 202D's were completed in Coastal Division during t	he reporting period.		
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?			
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AND / OR L	OCATIONS ON THE S	AME CHP 202D?	
YES NO	OCATIONS ON THE S	ANIL OTH ZUZD:	
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?			
(.,			
 C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED FOR ON INTERPRETATION ✓ YES ☐ NO 	N OFFICER'S CHP 415	's?	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?			
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WHICH RESU	JLTED IN AN ARREST,	CORRESPOND WITH THE AI	PPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?			
N/A			
14/11			
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE APPRO	PRIATE CHP 202 / CH	P 216?	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?			
N/A			
CMAK			
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO THE C	CHP 202D's WHERE C	ONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?)
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?			
N/A			

AREA

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DATE

DIVISION

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL
CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065
2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)
3. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED? ☐ YES ☑ NO
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D?
☐ YES ☐ NO
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?
N/A
3. SUMMARY

		- 1 1	
AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER		COMMANDER'S NAME	
Lt. Greg Williams		CHINE IN	
SIGNATURE / M	DATE	SIGNATURE	DATE
C181/2		0	
PAGE /	Destr	by Previous Editions	c202N507.frp

Date:

March 26, 2008

To:

Field Support Section

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Inland Division

File No.:

801.10552.11725

Subject:

ANNUAL 202D, CONSENT SEARCH FORM AUDIT

As required by General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy, Inland Division has completed its annual 202D audit, and is providing the following information for your review.

For the period of April 1, 2007, through December 31, 2007, Inland Division personnel performed a total of 21 consent searches. Seven CHP 202Ds were audited representing 33 percent of all searches performed. The majority of forms had no issues, however the following discrepancies were noted:

One CHP 202D was not completed correctly. The affected Area addressed the issue individually with the involved officer and was counseled on CHP policy regarding consent search documentation and obtaining approval.

There were two instances of incorrect administrative procedures, which are addressed under Items #2B and E on the CHP 202N (CHP 202D Audit-Division Level). One of the affected Areas addressed the issue individually with the involved officer and the sergeant responsible for review. Reinforcement of CHP policy regarding consent search documentation was discussed during subsequent briefings to field personnel. The other affected Area gave appropriate training and provided a copy of G.O. 100.91 to the new court clerk. The documents were then properly processed and filed.

No other discrepancies were discovered during the audit. Should you require additional information, please contact Lieutenant Ben Nocon of Inland Division at (909) 806-2400.

. P. TALBOTT, Chief

Attachment

STATE OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

AREA DIVISION DATE
Inland 03/26/2008

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER
Sgt. L. Martinez / 11725

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be completed in blue ink

overall findings. If handwritten, this form sha	Il be completed in blue ink.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	. TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	11
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	10
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	21
	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED AND ☐ YES ☑ NO	LEGIBLE?
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
Refer to the attached page.	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
Refer to the attached page.	
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS A ☑ YES □ NO	AND / OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS	TAKEN?
The use of the CHP 202D (Rev. 09-03) was b	being used. All officers were given additional training on the completion of CHP 202Ds.
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D's ACCURATELY ACCOUNT ☑ YES ☐ NO	ED FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS T.	AKEN?
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WE	HICH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO TH	HE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS T	AKEN?
Appropriate training and a copy of G. O. 100 filed.	.91 was provided to the new court clerk. The documents were then properly processed and
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED YES NO	TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS T.	AKEN?
N/A	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)	
B. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED? ☐ YES ☑ NO	
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?	
 WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SETTING THE CHP 202D? ✓ YES ☐ NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? 	ECTION TWO OF
3. SUMMARY	

- 2 A (1). The following boxes were left blank: consent search approved by, time of contact, date and time boxes next to the subject's signature, and the officer's name.
- 2 A (2). The officer was counseled on CHP policy regarding consent search documentation and obtaining approval.

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

Sgt. L. Martinez / 11725

SIGNATURE

PAGE 2

COMMANDER'S NAME

COMMANDER'S NAME

TO NOTE

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

DATE

SIGNATURE

C202N507 frp

C202N507 frp

Date:

March 18, 2008

To:

Field Support Section

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Southern Division

File No.:

501.9857.17176

Subject:

2007 202D AUDIT – DIVISION LEVEL

This memorandum is addressing the Southern Division Area's 202D Audit, for the calendar year of 2007. Within Southern Division there are ten (10) field Areas, additionally there is a Commercial Inspection Facility, Traffic Management Unit, Freeway Service Patrol, and Investigative Services Unit.

Following the change in the Consent Search decree, General Order 100.91 was revised to include the new 202D form, as well as new reporting procedures for the 202D. Beginning in May of 2007, all Areas were to begin using the new 202D, as well as begin preparing quarterly reports to Division. Each Area indicated above reported to Southern Division, as required, in a timely manner.

An annual audit was conducted in the beginning of 2008 of each of the above Areas. During this audit, a total of 27 202D/Consent Searches were completed. Of these 27, 16 were of positive findings, and 11 were of negative findings. Attached you will find a copy of each Area's 202N.

During the audit there were no major discrepancies found. There were however, issues with the use of the new form. It was found that many Areas were using the new form, in the old manner (i.e. used for a probable cause search, no signature obtained [or required], and the old narrative format used). This was discussed at length at all Areas, and the problem appears to have been resolved. In a few instances the old form was used, a signature was obtained, but was for a probable cause search. Again, this was discussed with the Areas.

Any questions may be directed to Officer L. Mitchell or Sergeant K. Porter at (818) 240-8200.

G. A. DOMINGUEZ, Chief

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTN'ENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

9580 / West Valley

DIVISION
Southern Division

02/20/2008

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

Laura L. Mitchell

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be completed in blue ink.

overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall	be completed in blue ink.
15 72 7 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	1
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	1
	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D's FULLY COMPLETED AND L ☑ YES ☐ NO	EGIBLE?
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
į	
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS A ☐ YES ☑ NO	ND / OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS T	AKEN?
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D's ACCURATELY ACCOUNTE	D FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
☑ YES ☐ NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
	*
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WH	ICH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	VEND
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	RENT
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO TH	E APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED	TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN!
N/A	

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065		
2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)		
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED? ☐ YES ☑ NO		
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?		
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D?		
YES NO		
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?		
N/A		
3: SUMMARY		

In September 2007, one (1) old 202D form was used for a Probable Cause search. The form was not signed, nor necessary, due to the nature of the search. This was discussed with the Area and they will ensure the old form is not used any longer, and that all 202D Consent Searches are used in the manner required.

COMMANDER'S NAME AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER Captain Steven Webb Laura L. Mitchell SIGNATURE SIGNATURE

PAGE 2

Destroy Previous Editions

c202N507.frp

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTI, ENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

AREA
9541 / Castaic Insp. Fac. Southern Division
AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

DATE
02/18/2008

Laura L. Mitchell / 17176

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be completed in blue ink.

overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall	be completed in blue ink.
1.	TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	0
	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED AND L	LEGIBLE?
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
N/A	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS A	ND / OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS T	AKEN?
N/A	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED YES NO	D FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
N/A	
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WH	ICH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
N/A	
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO TH	E APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
N/A	
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED	TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
N/A	

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065 2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)		
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?		
N/A		
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D's PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TV THE CHP 202D? YES NO	VO O	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?		
N/A		
3. SUMMARY	(Fig	

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

Laura L. Mitchell / 17176

Lt. Todd Hoose

SIGNATURE

DATE

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

DATE

SIGNATURE

DATE

SIGNATURE

DATE

SIGNATURE

DATE

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

DATE

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

DATE

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

DATE

SIGNATURE

SIGN

Destroy Previous Editions

c202N507.frp

STATE OF CATIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

AREA
9530 / South L.A.
Southern Division

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER
Laura L. Mitchell / 17176

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be completed in blue ink.

overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be complete	ted in blue ink.
1. TOTAL C	OUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	0
2. COM	PLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D's FULLY COMPLETED AND LEGIBLE? YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
N/A	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
, N/A	
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AND / OR LOC.	ATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
YES NO	
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED FOR ON O	FFICER'S CHP 415's?
YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
	ED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE APPROPRI	ATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO THE CHP	² 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
NI/A	

DEPARAMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)

G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED?

YES NO

(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?

N/A

H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D?

YES NO

(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?

N/A

3. SUMMARY

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

Laura L. Mitchell / 17176

SIGNATURE

PAGE

Commander's NAME

Captain Chris O'Quinn

DATE

SIGNATURE

Destroy Previous Editions

Commander's NAME

Captain Chris O'Quinn

DATE

SIGNATURE

DATE

C202N507.frp

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

AREA 9514 - TMC/FSP DIVISION
Southern Division

DATE 02/15/2008

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER Laura L. Mitchell

overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be compl	leted in blue ink.
1. TOTAL	COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	0
	MPLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED AND LEGIBLE? YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
N/A	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AND / OR LC	DCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED FOR ON YES NO	OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
D DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 2020's WHICH RESUL	TED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
YES NO	TEB IN AN AINCEOF, CONNECTIONS WITH THE FULL TWO NAMES OF LICE.
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE APPROP	RIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO THE CH	HP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
(30)	

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065
2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED? YES NO
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?
N/A
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?
N/A
3. SUMMARY

COMMANDER'S NAME AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER Captain Tommi Tyler Laura L. Mitchell DATE SIGNATURE SIGNATURE c202N507.frp Destroy Previous Editions

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPART SENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

AREA
9550 / Santa Fe Springs

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

DIVISION
Southern Division
DATE
02/26/2008

Laura L. Mitchell

overall findings. If flandwritter, this form shall	The completed in blue lint.
	TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	0
	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D's FULLY COMPLETED AND YES NO	LEGIBLE?
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
N/A	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS A	AND / OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
YES NO	TAVENO
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS T	AREN!
N/A	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D's ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED YES NO	ED FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
N/A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WH	IICH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
N/A	
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO TH	HE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
N/A	
	TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
YES NO	AZENO.
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN!
N/A	

DEPART JIENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)

G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED?

YES NO

(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?

N/A

H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D?

YES NO

(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?

N/A

3. SUMMARY

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER Laura L. Mitchell

COMMANDER'S NAME

Captain Daniel Minor

SIGNATURE

2/19/1

SIGNATURE

- Mine

DATE 3/2-1

ST (TO OF 1) C. NIA
DEPAR LIENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

AREA 9565 / West L.A. DIVISION
Southern Division

DATE 02/27/2008

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER
Laura L. Mitchell

overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be	s completed in blue ink.
1. T	OTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	0
	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D's FULLY COMPLETED AND LEC ☐ YES ☐ NO	SIBLE?
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
N/A	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
D. DID ANN OUR COOR HAVE MULTIPLE OVER IFOTO AND	NAME OF LOCATIONS ON THE CAME OF BOOKS
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AND YES NO	TOR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAK	EN?
N/A	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED F	FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKE	N?
N/A '	
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WHICH	RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKE	:N?
N/A	
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE A	APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
YES NO NO NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKE	·N?
Ф.	
N/A	
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO	THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKE	:N?
N/A	

STATE OF JULI RNIA I DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)
G.	WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED?
	(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?
	N/A
	WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D's PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF E CHP 202D? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?
	N/A
	2 CHMMADV

In April 2007, there were two (2) 202D Consent Search forms that were included in the Area's quarterly audit, however, these 202D's were done on the old form, and before 'Consent Searches resumed. These two did not need to be counted as they were actually Probable Cause searches, and completed as such.

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

Laura L. Mitchell

SIGNATURE

DATE

Destroy Previous Editions

Commander's NAME

Captain Ed Gingras

DATE

DATE

DESTROY Previous Editions

Commander's NAME

Captain Ed Gingras

DATE

Captain Ed Gingras

DATE

Captain Ed Gingras

Captain Ed Gingras

DATE

Captain Ed Gingras

Captain Ed Gingras

DATE

Captain Ed Gingras

Captain Ed Gingr

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

AREA
9535 / East L.A.
Southern Division
02/25/2008

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER Laura L. Mitchell

overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be	pe completed in blue ink.
	TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	0
	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED AND LE	EGIBLE?
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
N/A	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AN YES NO (1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	
N/A	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D's ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED YES NO	FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAK	EN?
N/A	×
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WHICE YES NO	CH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAK	EN?
N/A	
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE YES NO	APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAK	EN?
N/A	
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED T	O THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAK	EN?
N/A	

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065			
	2. COMPLETIC	ON / ACCURACY (continued)	
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATE YES NO	ELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEAR	RCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOV	/ERED?
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF AN	IY, TAKEN?		
N/A	•		
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SITHE CHP 202D? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE		"CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D's PROPERLY MA	ARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF
N/A			
		3. SUMMARY	

In May 2007, a new 202D form was used for a Probable Cause search. The form was not signed, and used with the old narrative format. In June 2007, an old 202D form was used for a Probable Cause search, when no 202D form was necessary at all. These instances were discussed with Sergeant Joanne Brooks, and it appears corrective action had already taken place within the Area. No other issues were observed during the audit.

COMMANDER'S NAME AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER Captain Mark Odle Laura L. Mitchell SIGNATURE SIGNATURE

Destroy Previous Editions

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

AREA DIVISION DATE
9575 / Altadena Southern Division 02/20/2008
AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

Laura L. Mitchell

overall findings. If nandwritten, this form shall	be completed in blue link.
그 바다 있었다. 시엔 이 전 생각을 모으면 보고 하려면 하다.	TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	1
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	1
	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
 A. WERE ALL CHP 202D's FULLY COMPLETED AND I YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES? 	_EGIBLE?
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS A ☐ YES ☑ NO	ND / OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS T	AKEN?
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTE	ED FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WH	IICH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO TH ☑ YES ☐ NO	E APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
*	
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED YES NO	TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	AKEN?
N/A	

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065
2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED? ☐ YES ☑ NO
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D?
☐ YES ☐ NO
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?
N/A
3. SUMMARY

COMMANDER'S NAME AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER Captain. Bill Dance Laura L. Mitchell DATE SIGNATURE SIGNATURE c202N507_frp Destroy Previous Editions

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPART! JENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

AREA
9540 / Newhall
Southern Division
AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

DATE
02/18/2008

Laura L. Mitchell / 17176

overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall	be completed in blue ink.
1.	TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	0
	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED AND L	LEGIBLE?
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
N/A	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
4	
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS A	ND / OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS T.	AKEN?
N/A	
10/24	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D's ACCURATELY ACCOUNTE	D FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
N/A	
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WH	ICH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
N/A	
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO TH	E APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
N/A	
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED YES NO	TO THE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TA	KEN?
N/A	

STATE OF ILIFORNIA

YES NO

N/A

DEPARTMENT OF $\mathcal C$ ALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?

COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)

G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED?

YES NO

(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?

N/A

H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D?

3. SUMMARY

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

Laura L. Mitchell / 17176

SIGNATURE

DATE

19/05

Destroy Previous Editions

Commander's NAME

Captain S.V. Bernard

DATE

1/2/05

Captain S.V. Bernard

DATE

1/2/05

Captain S.V. Bernard

DATE

2/2/05

Captain S.V. Bernard

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	AREA DIVISION	DATE
DEPARTMENT CF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL	9545 / Antelope Valley Southern I	Division 02/21
OUR COOR AUDIT DIVIDION LEVEL		

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

/2008

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

N/A

Laura L. Mitchell

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be completed in blue ink. 1. TOTAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's 0 Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's 0 Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's 0 Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's 0 Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's 0 0 TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S 2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY A. WERE ALL CHP 202D's FULLY COMPLETED AND LEGIBLE? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES? N/A (2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AND / OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D? ☐ YES ☐ NO (1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A C. WERE ALL CHP 202D's ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED FOR ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WHICH RESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO THE CHP 202D'S WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?

DEPARTMENT C'F CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065 2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued) G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED? YES NO (1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN? N/A H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D's PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A 3. SUMMARY

COMMANDER'S NAME AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER Lt. Andria Witmer Laura L. Mitchell SIGNATURE DATE SIGNATURE Destroy Previous Editions

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DECARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

AREA
9509 / I.S.U.
DIVISION
Southern Division
02/25/2008

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER
Laura L. Mitchell / 17176

overall findings. If flatfowritters, this form shall be of	
	TAL COUNT OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	13
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	9
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	22
	COMPLETION / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED AND LEGIB ☑ YES □ NO	LE?
(1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	v v
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AND / C	OR LOCATIONS ON THE SAME CHP 202D?
YES NO	
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN	?
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D's ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED FOR	R ON OFFICER'S CHP 415's?
✓ YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
4	
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D'S WHICH R	ESULTED IN AN ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
✓ YES NO	
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE APP ✓ YES NO	PROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
81	
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO TO YES NO	HE CHP 202D's WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHDRAWN?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	
17/14	

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)
G. WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED? ☐ YES ☐ NO
(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?
H. WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D'S PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF THE CHP 202D? [] YES [] NO (1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN? N/A
3. SUMMARY

In November 2007 one (1) old 202D form was used for a Consent Search. Signed consent was given by the subject, which resulted in a negative finding; however, the new form should have been used. This was discussed with Lt. Dave Moeller, and corrective action was taken by the Area to ensure this does not happen in the future.

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

Laura L. Mitchell / 17176

Lt. Dave Moeller

SIGNATURE

DATE

7/19/07

SIGNATURE

DATE

7/19/07

Destroy Previous Editions

AREA DIVISION DATE STATE OF CALIFOR IA 02/25/2008 9590 / Central L.A. Southern Division DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

Laura L. Mitchell / 17176

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

INSTRUCTIONS: This form shall be completed for each annual audit of the Area's CHP 202D, Consent Search forms. The findings of this audit shall be submitted to Field Support Section, in memorandum form, as specified in General Order 100.91, Search and Seizure Policy. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary statement. The Summary statement should

include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective action overall findings. If handwritten, this form shall be completed in be	ons, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's flue ink.
1. TOTAL COUNT	OF ALL CHP 202D'S
Total Number of Positive Find CHP 202D's	2
Total Number of Negative Find CHP 202D's	Ĭ.
Total Number of Refusal to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Unable to Sign CHP 202D's	0
Total Number of Consent Withdrawn CHP 202D's	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF ALL CHP 202D'S	3
	ON / ACCURACY
A. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S FULLY COMPLETED AND LEGIBLE? YES NO (1) IF NO, WHAT WERE THE DISCREPANCIES?	
FINDINGS	, NO REPORT OR NADLATIVE OR
(2) WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
DISCUSSED W SET FOR CORNEC	TILL ACTIONS
B. DID ANY CHP 202D HAVE MULTIPLE SUBJECTS AND / OR LOCATIONS ☐ YES ☑ NO	
(1) IF YES, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
*	
C. WERE ALL CHP 202D'S ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED FOR ON OFFICER' ✓ YES NO	S CHP 415's?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
D. DID THE PHOTOCOPIES OF THE CHP 202D's, WHICH RESULTED IN AN	N ARREST, CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
E. WAS THE ORIGINAL CHP 202D ATTACHED TO THE APPROPRIATE CH	IP 202 / CHP 216?
(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
E IMAG THE ADDRODDIATE NADDATIVE ATTACHED TO THE OUR COORS	WHERE CONSENT WAS WITHINDAWN?
F. WAS THE APPROPRIATE NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO THE CHP 202D'S YES NO	WHERE CONSEINT WAS WITHDRAWN!
(1) IF NO, WĤAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?	
N/A	

CHP 202D AUDIT - DIVISION LEVEL

CHP 202N (New 5-07) OPI 065

KLS	2. COMPLETION / ACCURACY (continued)
G.	WERE ANY DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBERS OF SEARCHES WITH A LOW NUMBER OF FINDS DISCOVERED? ☐ YES ☑ NO
	(1) IF YES, WAS ACTION, IF ANY, TAKEN?
Н.	WERE ALL THE "REFUSAL TO SIGN", "UNABLE TO SIGN", AND "CONSENT DENIED" CHP 202D's PROPERLY MARKED ACROSS SECTION TWO OF
TH	E CHP 202D?
	YES NO
	(1) IF NO, WHAT CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS TAKEN?
*	N/A

3. SUMMARY

In May 2007 one (1) 202D was completed on the old form, however, there was no signed. consent, no report or narrative attached, or findings indicated. In both August 2007, and October 2007, there was one (1) 202D Consent Search completed (one respectively for each month), where no 202D was required. It was determined that the searches were both Probable Cause searches only. Sergeant Dan Max was advised of the findings, and corrective actions will be taken to ensure proper documentation in the future.

SHOULD HAVE BEEN DOCUMENTED ON Al, SECTION A, AS NOT BEING FILLED OUT FULLY + LEGIBLE. DISCUSSED W L. MITCHELL. MITCHELL WODELSTOOD HER ERROR. DB 4-22-08

AUDITOR'S NAME / ID NUMBER

Laura L. Mitchell / 17176

COMMANDER'S NAME

Captain Calvin Beard

SIGNATURE

DATE

c202N507.frp

Destroy Previous Editions

SIGNATURE